Application No. Applicant(s) 09/233,145 YAMAZAKI ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner **TAI DUONG** 2871 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): K. YAMAMOTO (1) Tai Duong - Examiner Date of Interview b) Video Conference Type: a) Telephonic c) \boxtimes Personal [copy is given to 1) \square applicant 2) \boxtimes applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) e) No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: None Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \bowtie was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) \(\bigcirc \) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

TAI DUONG
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2871

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, w required

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached